From: Butler, David

To: Medlyn, Emily W CIV USARMY HODA OTJAG (USA); chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Grube-Lybarker, Carri;

Bateman, Andrew; Hamm, Steven; Huber, Christopher; Knowles, Alex; Mitch Willoughby; Belton T. Zeigler; manzelmo@mcguirewoods.com; matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; fknapp@knappagency.com; alex@shissiaslawfirm.com; bguild@mindspring.com; dori.jaffe@sierraclub.org; Hall, Roger; adam@scjustice.org;

seaton@spilmanlaw.com; dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com; Scott Elliott; john@johncoffman.net;

kmixson@selcsc.org; Parker, Connor; dneal@selcnc.org; tgreen@willoughbyhoefer.com; Edwards, Nanette

Cc: Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne; Besley, Sharon; Wheat, Jo; DeSanty, Tricia; PSC Contact

Subject: RE: [External] RE: Hearings in DN 2020-125-E - Dominion Rate Case -IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Date: Friday, July 9, 2021 12:54:50 PM

Hi, Emily:

Thank you for the Verifications on Mr. Zhu's Direct and Surrebuttal. No further copies of those are needed. What you submitted will work.

On Mr. Garrett, we are going to try to keep the Settlement Testimony and the Stipulated Testimony separate. So, Mr. Garrett's settlement testimony will certainly be sworn on the spot without need for a verification. However, we are anticipating that the Chairman will finish all Settlement testimony prior to addressing Direct, Rebuttal, or Surrebuttal Testimony from the original case. This testimony will be stipulated in only after the Settlement Testimony of all witnesses is completed. For this reason, we would ask for Verifications for Mr. Garrett's Direct and Surrebuttal Testimony. (I know it seems intuitive to just let him put in all his testimony at once, but, in this case, the preference is to keep the Settlement Testimony and the rate case testimony separate. As I had stated, Mr. Garrett's presence will not be necessary for you to stipulate his direct and surrebuttal materials into the record in the second portion of the case.)

Thanks for asking,

David Butler

From: Medlyn, Emily W CIV USARMY HQDA OTJAG (USA) <emily.w.medlyn.civ@mail.mil>

Sent: Friday, July 9, 2021 12:28 PM

To: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>; chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Bateman, Andrew <abateman@ors.sc.gov>; Hamm, Steven <shamm@ors.sc.gov>; Huber, Christopher <chuber@ors.sc.gov>; Knowles, Alex

<aknowles@ors.sc.gov>; Mitch Willoughby <mwilloughby@willoughbyhoefer.com>; Belton T. Zeigler <belton.zeigler@wbd-us.com>; manzelmo@mcguirewoods.com;

matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; fknapp@knappagency.com;

alex@shissiaslawfirm.com; bguild@mindspring.com; dori.jaffe@sierraclub.org; Hall, Roger

<RHall@scconsumer.gov>; adam@scjustice.org; seaton@spilmanlaw.com;

dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com; Scott Elliott <selliott@elliottlaw.us>; john@johncoffman.net;

kmixson@selcsc.org; Parker, Connor <cjparker@scconsumer.gov>; dneal@selcnc.org;

tgreen@willoughbyhoefer.com; Edwards, Nanette < nedwards@ors.sc.gov>

Cc: Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne <JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov>; Besley, Sharon <sharon.besley@psc.sc.gov>; Wheat, Jo <Jo.Wheat@psc.sc.gov>; DeSanty, Tricia <Tricia.DeSanty@psc.sc.gov>; PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>

Subject: [External] RE: Hearings in DN 2020-125-E - Dominion Rate Case -IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Good afternoon David,

Attached are the executed verifications for Dr. Zhen Zhu's Direct and Surrebuttal Testimony on behalf of DoD-FEA. Please let me know if I need to send these a different way. DoD-FEA's other witness, Mr. Mark Garrett, filed settlement testimony and will be available for Commission questions on Monday at the settlement portion of the hearing. Mr. Garrett also filed direct and surrebuttal testimony. Do I understand correctly that all his testimonies (settlement, direct and rebuttal) will be entered when he takes the stand (i.e. a verification is not needed for Mr. Garrett's direct and surrebuttal testimony)? Thank you for your guidance.

Kind regards,

Emily

Emily W. Medlyn General Attorney U. S. Army Legal Services Agency ELD Division 9275 Gunston Road, Suite 4300 Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5546 Work Mobile: (571) 723-2076

Please contact me on my work mobile until further notice.

Office Phone: (703) 614-3918

Email: emily.w.medlyn.civ@mail.mil

This email is for the intended recipient only and may contain confidential and privileged information, including attorney-client privileged information and information protected by the attorney work product doctrine. No one other than the intended recipient may read, print, store, copy, forward, or act in reliance on this e-mail or its attachments. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, please return the message to the sender, delete the message and any attachments from your computer, and do not retain any type of copy whatsoever of the message or any attachment.

From: Butler, David < <u>David.Butler@psc.sc.gov</u>>

Sent: Thursday, July 8, 2021 11:47 AM

To: chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Grube-Lybarker, Carri clybarker@scconsumer.gov; Bateman, Andrew abateman@ors.sc.gov; Hamm, Steven shamm@ors.sc.gov; Huber, Christopher chuber@ors.sc.gov; Mitch Willoughby cmilloughby@willoughby@ors.sc.gov; Mitch Willoughby cmilloughby@willoughby@ors.sc.gov; Melloughby@willoughbyhoefer.com; Belton T. Zeigler belton.zeigler@wbd-us.com; matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; fknapp@knappagency.com; Medlyn, Emily W CIV USARMY HQDA OTJAG (USA) emily.wmedlyn.civ@mail.mil; alex@shissiaslawfirm.com; bguild@mindspring.com; dori.jaffe@sierraclub.org; Hall, Roger RHall@scconsumer.gov; adam@scjustice.org; seaton@spilmanlaw.com; Scott Elliott selliottlaw.us; john@johncoffman.net; kmixson@selcsc.org; Parker, Connor ciparker@scconsumer.gov;

dneal@selcnc.org; tgreen@willoughbyhoefer.com; Edwards, Nanette <nedwards@ors.sc.gov>
Cc: Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne <<u>JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov</u>>; Besley, Sharon <<u>sharon.besley@psc.sc.gov</u>>;
Wheat, Jo <<u>Jo.Wheat@psc.sc.gov</u>>; DeSanty, Tricia <<u>Tricia.DeSanty@psc.sc.gov</u>>; PSC_Contact
<<u>Contact@psc.sc.gov</u>>

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Hearings in DN 2020-125-E - Dominion Rate Case -IMPORTANT INFORMATION

To the Parties:

I wanted to let the parties know of a change in plans for the hearing coming up next week in the Dominion Rate Case. The Commission will proceed as previously scheduled with the Settlement portion of the case and the Settlement witnesses as listed, starting at 9 am on Monday, July 12. However, after the Settlement hearing, the Commission has decided not to have the parties present the remaining pre-filed testimony of those witnesses who were not heard in January, with one exception: during settlement testimony, the Commissioners will be asking questions of the various settlement witnesses. If a settlement witness does not know the answer to a Commissioner's question, and believes that someone on their team may know the answer to the question, that settlement witness can designate such a witness, and that witness may be on the list of those witnesses who did not present their pre-filed testimony in January. In that case, someone who was not able to testify in January may end up testifying.

Stated another way: If settlement witness x is asked a question, but states that witness Y is better suited to answer that question, the Commission would call witness Y after settlement witnesses complete their testimony.

At the end of the settlement testimony, and any testimony from a witness answering a Commissioner question, the parties will be asked, in the order in the original Cast of Characters, to stipulate into the record the testimony and exhibits of your individual witnesses who have not been presented to the Commission. The witnesses do not need to be present or available virtually. (The testimony of each individual witness would still be read into the record as if given orally from the stand, and the exhibits of each witness would be marked for identification and entered into the record as the next hearing exhibits.) We will need a VERIFICATION for each piece of testimony that is stipulated into the record. If you are a part of the live hearing, you can bring it when you come. If you are appearing virtually, we will need it as soon as possible.

Also, we will need to discuss a proposed order date. I do not have an "availability of transcript" date, but will send that to you when I get it. Of course, the final order is due to be issued on August 16, 2021.

I trust that this information has been helpful to the parties. If there are any questions, please let me know, and send copies to all parties.

Thanks,
David Butler
Chief Hearing Officer